MICROECONOMICS 2

FIRM PRICING

Outline

- O. Review on Monopoly
- 1. Conditions for Price Discrimination
- 2. Perfect Price Discrimination
- 3. Group Price Discrimination
- 4. Nonlinear Pricing
- 5. Two-Part Pricing
- 6. Tie-In Sales

O. Monopoly Profit Maximization

- Profit function to be maximized by choosing output, Q:
 - $\pi(Q) = R(Q) C(Q)$, where
 - R(Q) is the revenue function
 - C(Q) is the cost function
- The necessary condition for profit maximization:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\pi(Q^*)}{\mathrm{d}Q} = \frac{\mathrm{d}R(Q^*)}{\mathrm{d}Q} - \frac{\mathrm{d}C(Q^*)}{\mathrm{d}Q} = 0$$

Monopoly Profit Maximization


- A firm's MR curve depends on its demand curve.
 - MR is also downward sloping and lies below D
- If p(Q) is the inverse demand function, which shows the price received for selling Q, then the marginal revenue function is:

$$MR(Q) = \frac{dR(Q)}{dQ} = \frac{dp(Q)Q}{dQ} = p(Q)\frac{dQ}{dQ} + \frac{dp(Q)}{dQ}Q = p(Q) + \frac{dp(Q)}{dQ}Q$$

- Given a positive value of Q, MR lies below inverse demand.
- Selling one more unit requires the monopolist to lower the price
 - Price is lowered on the marginal unit and all other units sold

Monopoly Profit Maximization

Moving from Q to Q+1, the monopoly's marginal revenue is less than the price it charges by an amount equal to area C



Quantity, Q, Units per year

MR Curve and the Price Elasticity of Demand

We can rewrite MR function so that it is stated in terms of elasticity:

$$MR = p + \frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}Q}Q = p + p\frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}Q}\frac{Q}{p} = p\left[1 + \frac{1}{\left(\mathrm{d}Q/\mathrm{d}p\right)\left(p/Q\right)}\right] = p\left[1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right]$$

- This makes the relationship between MR, D, and elasticity quite clear.
 - Everywhere that MR > 0, demand is elastic (ε < -1).
 - The quantity at which MR = 0 corresponds to the unitary elastic portion of the demand curve (ϵ = -1).
 - Everywhere that MR < 0, demand is inelastic (-1 < ϵ < 0).

Monopoly Example


- Inverse demand function: p(Q) = 24 Q
- Can be used to find the marginal revenue function: MR(Q) = 24 2Q
- Quadratic cost function: $C(Q) = VC(Q) + F = Q^2 + 12$
 - Can be used to find the marginal cost function: $MC(Q) = \frac{dC(Q)}{dQ} = 2Q$
- Profit-maximizing output is obtained by producing Q*:

$$MR(Q^*) = 24 - 2Q^* = 2Q^* = MC(Q^*)$$

- Solving this expression reveals Q*=6
- The inverse demand function indicates that people are willing to pay p = \$18 for 6 units of output.

Monopoly Example

- The monopolist's profit maximizing choice of output is found where MR=MC.
- At the profit-maximizing output, set p according to inverse demand.



1. Price Discrimination

- Uniform pricing so far
- Firms sometimes use nonuniform pricing, where prices vary across customers or quantities, to earn a higher profit.
 - Quantity discrimination (buy-one-get-one-free)
 - Multimarket price discrimination (senior citizens, children pay less)
 - Two-part tariffs (Cell phone service providers charge a monthly service fee and a fee per text message or call)
 - Bundling (air tickets bundled with hotels; computers bundled with software)

Conditions for Price Discrimination

- A firm engages in *price discrimination* by charging consumers different prices for the same good based on
 - individual characteristics
 - belonging to an identifiable sub-group of consumers
 - the quantity purchased

- Two reasons why a firm earns a higher profit from price discrimination than uniform pricing:
 - 1. Price-discriminating firms charge higher prices to customers who are willing to pay more than the uniform price.
 - 2. Price-discriminating firms sell to some people who are not willing to pay as much as the uniform price.

Conditions for Price Discrimination

Conditions for *successful* price discrimination:

- 1. A firm must have market power (otherwise it cannot charge a price above the competitive price).
 - Market power is the ability of a firm to charge a price above marginal cost and earn a positive profit. Examples: monopolist, oligopolist, ...
- 2. There is some variation in consumers' willingness to pay, and the firm must be able to identify which consumers are willing to pay relatively more.
- 3. A firm must be able to prevent or limit resale from customers who are charged a relatively low price to those who are charged a relatively high price.

Conditions for Price Discrimination

- A firm's inability to prevent resale is often the biggest obstacle to successful price discrimination.
- Resale is difficult or impossible for services and when transaction costs are high.
 - Examples: haircuts, plumbing services, admission that requires showing an ID
- Not all differential pricing is price discrimination.
- It is not price discrimination if the different prices simply reflect differences in costs.
 - Example: selling magazines at a newsstand for a higher price than via direct mailing

Types of Price Discrimination


- First-degree, also known as perfect price discrimination:
 Each unit sold for each customer's reservation price (willingness to pay)
- 2. Second-degree, also known as nonlinear discrimination: Firm charges a different price for large quantities than for small quantities
- 3. Third-degree, also known as group price discrimination: Firm charges different groups of customers different prices, but charges any one customer the same price for all units sold

2. Perfect Price Discrimination

- Under perfect price discrimination, the firm charges each consumer a price that is exactly equal to the maximum he/she is willing to pay.
- Thus, each consumer gets zero consumer surplus.
- Firm profit is increased by the amount of consumer surplus that would exist in a competitive market; all CS is transferred to the firm.

Perfect Price Discrimination

Producing where
Demand = MC, all
consumer surplus
(A+B+C) is transformed
into firm profit.



		Monopoly	
	Competition	Single Price	Perfect Price Discrimination
Consumer Surplus, CS	A + B + C	A	0
Producer Surplus, PS	D+E	B+D	A + B + C + D + E
Welfare, $W = CS + PS$	A+B+C+D+E	A + B + D	A + B + C + D + E
Deadweight Loss, DWL	0	C + E	0

Perfect Price Discrimination

- The perfect price discrimination result of producing where demand equals MC means that the competitive quantity of output gets produced.
- This outcome is efficient:
 - it maximizes total welfare
 - no deadweight loss is generated
- But the outcome is harmful to consumers because all surplus is producer surplus.
- Perfect price discrimination is hard because it requires that the firm knows exactly how much each consumer is willing to pay for each unit of good and is able to prevent resale.

Firms divide potential customers into two or more groups (based on some easily observable characteristic) and set a different price for each group.

- Example: senior or student discounts
- Example: "Microeconomics with Calculus" (global edition) and
 "Microeconomics: theory and applications with calculus" (US edition)
 - Only differences: Chapter and section titles and page numbers (from my observation) to prevent resale
 - Prices: US\$257.6 for US edition and £53.99=US\$81.4 for global edition (from Pearson website).

Microeconomics: theory and applications with calculus

Table of Contents:


- 3. A Consumer's Constrained Choice
- 9. Properties and Applications of the

Competitive Model

- 11. Monopoly
- 13. Game Theory
- 14. Oligopoly and Monopolistic

Competition

- 17. Externalities, Open Access, and Public Goods
- 18. Asymmetric Information
- 19. Contracts and Moral Hazards



Compare it with your book

The firm chooses quantities sold to each group, Q1 and Q2, such that

■ FOCs:
$$\max_{Q_1,Q_2} \pi = R_1(Q_1) + R_2(Q_2) - C(Q_1 + Q_2)$$

• Marginal revenue from each group should be the same and equal to marginal cost:

$$\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial Q_1} = \frac{dR_1(Q_1)}{dQ_1} - \frac{dC(Q)}{dQ} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial Q_1} = 0 \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial Q_2} = \frac{dR_2(Q_2)}{dQ_2} - \frac{dC(Q)}{dQ} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial Q_2} = 0$$

$$MR^1 = MC = MR^2$$

■ The first-order conditions imply that marginal revenue from each group should be the same and equal to marginal cost:


$$MR^1 = MC = MR^2$$


Because marginal revenue is a function of elasticity, we can write:

$$MR^A = p_A \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_A} \right) = m = p_B \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_B} \right) = MR^B \qquad \qquad \frac{p_B}{p_A} = \frac{1 + 1/\varepsilon_A}{1 + 1/\varepsilon_B}$$

Thus, the higher price will be charged in the less elastic market segment.

A higher price will be charged in the market with the more price-inelastic demand.





- Welfare under multimarket price discrimination is lower than it is under either competition or perfect price discrimination.
- Under competition, more output is produced, and CS is greater

4. Nonlinear Price Discrimination

- Price varies only with the quantity purchased, but each customer faces the same nonlinear pricing schedule.
- Not all quantity discounts are price discrimination; some reflect reductions in firm costs associated with large-quantity sales.
- Many utilities use block-pricing schedules, by which they charge one price for the first few units of usage (block) and then a different price for subsequent blocks.

Nonlinear Price Discrimination

- Consider a monopolist with constant marginal cost m = 30 and inverse demand curve p = 90 - Q.
- Suppose the firm can have block-pricing.
- How does the firm decide on the quantity for the first-block rate (Q1) and total quantity (Q2)?

Nonlinear Price Discrimination

■ Profit:
$$\pi = p(Q_1)Q_1 + p(Q_2)(Q_2 - Q_1) - mQ_2$$

$$\pi = (90 - Q_1)Q_1 + (90 - Q_2)(Q_2 - Q_1) - 30Q_2$$

■ FOC:
$$\frac{d\pi}{dQ_1} = Q_2 - 2Q_1 = 0 \qquad \frac{d\pi}{dQ_2} = Q_1 - 2Q_2 + 60 = 0$$

Nonlinear Price Discrimination

Consider Single-price monopoly:


$$\pi = p(Q)Q - mQ = (90 - Q)Q - 30Q$$

FOC

$$\frac{d\pi}{dQ} = 60 - 2Q = 0$$

Q = 30

Nonlinear Price Discrimination




	Block Pricing	Single Price
Consumer Surplus, CS	A + C = \$400	E = \$450
Producer Surplus or Profit, $PS = \pi$	B = \$1,200	F = \$900
Welfare, $W = CS + PS$	A + B + C = \$1,600	E + F = \$1,350
Deadweight Loss, DWL	D = \$200	G = \$450

5. Two-Part Pricing

- Another form of nonlinear pricing, a two-part tariff, is when the firm charges a consumer a lump-sum fee for the right to purchase (first tariff) and a per unit fee for each unit actually purchased (second tariff).
- Think of the first tariff as an "access fee" and the second as a "usage fee". Examples:
 - A country club charges a membership fee and greens fees to play a round of golf
 - Cell phone service providers charge a monthly service fee and a fee per text message

Two-Part Pricing

If all consumers are identical, the firm can capture all CS by charging a lump-sum "access fee" equal to CS (\$2450 in the figure) and a "usage fee" equal to marginal cost (m).



6. Tie-In Sales

Another type of nonuniform pricing is a **tie-in sale**, in which customers can buy one product only if they agree to purchase another product as well.

- Requirement tie-in sale: customers who buy one product from a firm are required to make all purchases of related products from that firm. For example, photocopying machine buyers must buy services and supplies from same company
- Bundling: two goods are combined so that customers cannot buy either good separately. For example, refrigerators are sold with shelves

Tie-In Sales

Example of Bundling: Elsevier

- The cost of knowledge http://thecostofknowledge.com/
- They charge exorbitantly high prices for subscriptions to individual journals.
- In the light of these high prices, the only realistic option for many libraries is to agree to buy very large "bundles", which will include many journals that those libraries do not actually want. Elsevier thus makes huge profits by exploiting the fact that some of their journals are essential.

Tie-In Sales

Why does bundling increase the firm's profit?

Table below shows the maximum rental price two theatres are willing

to pay for two Disney movies, A and B.

• If Disney must charge the same rental fee for each film to each theatre, it can either: (a) charge 700 for A and 250 for B; or (b) bundle the films together and charge 1000 for the bundle to each theatre.


Theater	Max price per film		Bundle price
	A	В	price
1	800	250	1050
2	700	300	1000

- Under (a), Revenue = 2(700) + 2(250) = 1900
- Under (b), Revenue = 2(1000) = 2000, which is better.

Tie-In Sales

- Bundling works because the theatres' demands are negatively correlated i.e., though both theatres are willing to pay more for A than for B, their relative evaluations are different.
- Bundling does not help in the following case.

Theater	Max price per film		Bundle price
	Α	В	price
1	800	300	1150
2	700	250	950





REFERENCE

Chapter 11-12 - Microeconomics: Theory and Applications with Calculus, 3rd Edition. By Jeffrey M. Perloff. 2014 Pearson Education.