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## Taxation of Income: <br> Basic Concepts

- Usually national, sometimes at the state level
- Direct tax on personal and corporate income
- Measured by income defined as broadly as possible
- Based on ability to pay > benefits received
- Goal is horizontal and vertical equity
- Administration is very difficult


## Key Issues

- Economic efficiency:

Cost of behavioral responses to tax (income and substitution effects)

- Disincentives to work, save, and invest
- Costs of compliance and distortions caused by tax avoidance and tax evasion
- Social equity:

Fairness in allocation of tax burden

- Definition of tax base (who and what)
- Determination of tax rate(s) (how much)
- Administrative feasibility:

Potential to generate revenue

- Targeting for precision and fairness
- Simplicity for efficiency and fairness


## TABLE 22.1 CALCULATING TAX LIABILITIES

Wages and salaries
Interest income, dividends
Net business income
Net rental income

+ Other income


## GROSS INCOME

- IRA contributions (when eligible), and contributions by self-employed to pension plans
- Alimony
$-1 / 2$ of self-employment tax
- Part of health insurance premiums paid by self-employed for themselves and family

ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME

## Alternative 1

- Standard deduction

Alternative 2: Itemized deductions

- Mortgage interest
- State and local income and property taxes
- Medical expenses in excess of $10 \%$ of adjusted gross income
- Charitable contributions
- Moving expenses (connected to relocation for employment)
- Employee expenses (in excess of $2 \%$ of income)
- Casualty losses
- Exemptions
- Exemptions

TAXABLE INCOME
$\times$ Tax rate
TAX LIABILITY

- Taxes previously withheld
- Tax credits (child care expense, foreign taxes paid, earned income tax credit, college tuition)


## Tax Rates

| ITEM |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STATUTORY | MARGINAL | TAX RATE |
| STATUTORY | AVERAGE TAX RATE |  |
| EFFECTIVE | MARGINAL TAX RATE |  |
| EFFECTIVE AVERAGE TAX RATE |  |  |




Note: Data for households with children.

FIGURE 22.3

SOURCES: Internal Revenue Service, Form 1040 (2003); and Congressional Budget Office.

## TABLE 22.3 AVERAGE EFFECTIVE INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX RATES BY INCOME CLASS AND TAX YEAR (INCOME CLASS IN QUINTILES + TOP 1\%; RATE IN PERCENT)

| Income tax <br> Quintles | 1985 | 1987 | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2004 | 2011 <br> (ESTMMATE) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lowest | 0.5 | -0.6 | -5.1 | -5.4 | -4.6 | -6.2 | -5.8 |
| Second | 4.0 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 | -0.9 | -2.9 |
| Third | 6.6 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 |
| Fourth | 8.8 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 5.9 | 7.0 |
| Highest | 14.0 | 14.9 | 16.1 | 16.5 | 17.5 | 13.9 | 14.9 |
| All families | 10.2 | 10.3 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 11.8 | 8.7 | 9.3 |
| Top 1\% | 18.9 | 21.5 | 24.2 | 23.4 | 24.2 | 19.7 | 20.3 |

NOTE: Income class quintiles are based on comprehensive household income, which equals all pretax cash income, including taxes paid by businesses and employees' contributions to $401(\mathrm{k})$ retirement plans, plus all in-kind benefits. The 2011 estimate excludes in-kind benefits.
SOURCES: Congressional Budget Office, Historical Effective Federal Tax Rates, 1979 to 2005, (December 2007); and Urban-Brookings Tax Policy Center Microsimulation Model, Average Effective Federal Tax Rates By Cash Income Percentiles, 2011 (February 2012).

Distribution of U.S. Income and Payroll Tax Burden in 2003


## Tax Administration Terminology

- Tax Ratio: Level of Taxation Tax Revenue/GDP (or Tax Revenue/GNP)
- Tax Capacity: Tax Potential Taxable Income or Wealth
- Tax Effort: Utilized Tax Capacity Tax Collected/Tax Capacity
- Collection Ratio: Tax Administration Efficiency Tax Collected/Tax Assessed


## Income Tax Reform

- Proportional (flat) tax vs. progressive tax
- Purest form: threshold (exempt amount) with single rate on all income above it
- Possible economic benefits
- Might lower and redistribute tax burden
- Potential administrative advantages
- Trend in Eastern Europe
- 1994: Estonia (26\%) \& Lithuania (33\%)
- 1995: Latvia (25\%)
- 2001: Russia (13\%)
- 2003: Serbia (14\%)
- 2004: Ukraine (13\%) \& Slovakia (19\%)
- 2005: Georgia (12\%) \& Romania (16\%)
- Many potential problems
- Flat tax $\neq$ low tax rate
- Flat tax $\neq$ large tax base
- Flat tax $\neq$ simplicity
- Correlation vs. causation
- Transitional costs


## Taxation of Wealth:

 Property Related Taxes- Annual tax on current value of real estate:

Subject for rest of this class session (special tax)

- Transfer tax on the current value of real estate:

Part of sales tax or value added tax (or special tax)

- Capital gains tax on real estate net appreciation:

Part of income tax (unearned or passive income)

## Basic Concepts

- Primary source of:


## Local

 Discretionary
## Revenue

- Assessed on:
- Capital value of land and improvements
- Annual/rental value
- Site value of land
- Tax rate can be:
- Uniform or differentiated
- Flat or progressive


## Primary Purpose of the Property Tax

$\rightarrow$ Ensure the long-term generation of adequate local government discretionary resources

## NOT

- Guide allocative decisions
- Achieve social goals
- Recover capital costs


## Strengths

## Economic Efficiency

- Hard to avoid legally
- Supports local autonomy

Social Equity

- Proxy for income tax
- Correlated with benefits
- Generally progressive

Net Revenue Generation

- Potential money machine
- Relatively stable
- Can be enforced


## Weaknesses

Economic Efficiency

- Impact on long-run allocative decisions Social Equity
- No direct relationship with ability to pay
- Can worsen regional disparities in wealth

Net Revenue Generation

- Large number of statutory taxpayers
- High visibility
- Subjective assessment
- Threat to the sanctity of private property


## $=$ Tax Rate



## Property Tax Example

City of Cambridge
Commonwealth of Massachusetts

## FACTS ON FILE



## HOUSING

(Source: City of Cambridge as of $01 / 01 / 13$ )

| Type of House | Median Value | FY14 <br> Tas Bill* | \# of Parcels |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One family | $\$ 741,600$ | $\$ 4,407$ | 3,825 |
| Two family | $\$ 690,150$ | $\$ 3,976$ | 2,532 |
| Three family | $\$ 786,900$ | $\$ 4,787$ | 1,256 |
| Condominium | $\$ 389,500$ | $\$ 1,457$ | 14,040 |
| *includes residential exemption |  |  |  |

## INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING <br> Degree Candidates 2014

(Source: Cambridge Community Development Department)

Harvard University 17,408
Massachusetts Institute of Technology 10,908
Lesley University 4,571
Cambridge College 2,946


Harvard University


Massachusetts Institute of Technology

FY15 OPERATING BUDGET- $\$ 524,401,800$
APPROPRIATION BY FUNCTION

FY15 OPERATING BUDGET-\$524,401,800 APPROPRIATION BY STATUTORY CATEGORY


FY15 OPERATING BUDGET-\$524,401,800
REVENUE BY SOURCE



PERCENTAGE RESIDENTIAL \& COMMERCIAL PROPERTY YALUES


PERCENTAGE OF PROPERTY TAX LEVY PAID BY RESIDENTLAL \& COMMERCIAL PROPERTY OWNERS


# Taxation of Consumption: Sales, Value Added, \& Excise Taxes 

- General sales and companion use taxes usually subnational (state or local)
- Value added tax usually national
- Excise taxes at all levels


## General Sales and Companion Use Tax

- Seldom total consumption
- Usually at the retail stage
- Follows destination principle
- Have income and price effects
- Equity considerations


## Excise Taxes

- Extremely prevalent
- Raise significant revenue, cause minimum economic distortion, administration relatively easy
- Correct for negative externalities
- Improve vertical equity
- Specific vs. ad valorem
- Revenue vs. regulation


## Alternative Taxes on Business

- Gross receipts tax:

Tax on total revenue

- Value added tax (VAT):
- Difference between sales and cost of goods/services
- Usually based on consumption


## Alternative Business Tax Bases

| Type | Subtraction Base | Additive Base | Tax Base |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gross Y <br> (Receipts) | Revenue | Purchases + Wages + <br> Depr. + i + Rent $+\pi$ | $\mathrm{a} *$ GDP, <br> $\mathrm{a}>1$ |
| Value Added, <br> Gross Y | Revenue - Purchases <br> of Materials | Wages + Depr. + i + <br> Rent $+\pi$ | GDP |
| Value Added, <br> Net Y | Revenue - Purchases <br> of Materials - Depr. | Wages + i + Rent + $\pi$ | National <br> Income |
| Value Added, <br> Consumption | Revenue - Purchases <br> of Materials - <br> Capital Purchases | Wages + i + Rent + <br> $\pi+$ Net Investment | Consumption |
| Net Y (Profits) | Revenue - Purchases <br> of Materials - Wages <br> -i - Rent - Depr. | $\pi$ | $\pi$ or ROI |

Source: Adapted from Ronald Fisher, State and Local Public Finance, $3^{\text {rd }}$ ed., Table 17-2.

Tax Bases and Production Stages

|  | Farmer | Miller | Baker | Oven | Steel | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sales | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 500$ | $\$ 2,000$ | $\$ 500$ | $\$ 200$ | $\$ 3,300$ |
| Materials | 0 | 100 | 500 | 200 | 0 | 800 |
| Capital Goods | 0 | 0 | 500 | 0 | 0 | 500 |
| Gross Receipts Tax <br> @ 10\% | 10 | 50 | 200 | 50 | 20 | 330 |
| VA, Gross Y | 100 | 400 | 1,500 | 300 | 200 | 2,500 |
| Gross Y VAT @ <br> 10\% | 10 | 40 | 150 | 30 | 20 | 250 |
| Depreciation | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| VA, Net Y | 100 | 400 | 1,400 | 300 | 200 | 2,400 |
| Net Y VAT @ 10\% | 10 | 40 | 140 | 30 | 20 | 240 |
| VA, Consumption | 100 | 400 | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ | 300 | 200 | 2,000 |
| Cons. VAT @ 10\% | 10 | 40 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 30 | 20 | 200 |
| Profit | 8 | 40 | $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ | 40 | 16 | 264 |
| Profit Tax @ 10\% | 0.8 | 4 | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 4 | 1.6 | 26.4 |

Source: Adapted from Ronald Fisher, State and Local Public Finance, 3rd ed., Table 17-3.

